

U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of FOCKET FRE COPY ORIGINAL

Telecommunications Industry Liaison Unit P.O. Box 220450 Chantilly, VA 20153-0450

December 17, 1997

By Hand Delivery

RECEIVED

Ms. Magalie R. Salas, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Docket No. 97-213 (released October 10, 1997)

DEC 1.7 1997
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: In the Matter of Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act, CC

Dear Ms. Salas:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced proceeding is the original of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Request for an Extension of Time to file reply comments *In the Matter of Communications Assistance For Law Enforcement Act*, CC Docket No. 97-213 (rel. October 10, 1997), and an accompanying Certificate of Service.

An additional copy of this request is enclosed to be stamped "received" and returned.

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely, Pozanne R. Worrell

Supervisory Special Agent Federal Bureau of Investigation

Enclosure

Market Company Off

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL CEIVED

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC 20554

DEC 17 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

| | _ | |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| In the Matter of: |) | |
| |) | |
| Communications Assistance for Law |) | CC Docket No. 97-213 |
| Enforcement Act |) | |
| |) | |

Request of the Federal Bureau of Investigation for An Extension of Time to File Reply Comments

Pursuant to Sections 1.415 and 1.46 of the Federal Communications Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.415, 1.46, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), by its attorneys, respectfully requests the Commission to extend the FBI's time to file its reply comments in the captioned proceeding regarding implementation of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act ("CALEA") by thirty (30) days, from January 12, 1998 to February 11, 1998. This request also is submitted on behalf of the FBI-led Law Enforcement Technical Forum ("LETF"), which consists of representatives from more than fifty (50) Federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies. As grounds for its request, the FBI states the following:

I. THE FBI INTEREST

The FBI, member agencies of the LETF, and hundreds of other law enforcement agencies represented by the LETF in this proceeding regularly obtain and present electronic surveillance orders to carriers as part of their ongoing investigative efforts.² In addition, the Attorney General has delegated certain roles and responsibilities assigned to the Justice Department under CALEA to the FBI pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 0.85(o).

Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act, Pub. L. No. 103-414, 108 Stat. 4279 (1994) (codified as amended in sections of 18 U.S.C. and 47 U.S.C.).

Federal electronic surveillance orders may be issued pursuant to Title III of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2522 (referred to herein as "Title III"). Title III electronic surveillance orders pertain to the content of communications. Orders for the use of pen register and trap and trace devices, which provide call-identifying information, are issued pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3121-3127. Electronic surveillance and pen register and trap and trace orders may also be issued pursuant to state electronic surveillance statutes. In addition, electronic surveillance orders may be issued pursuant to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA), 50 U.S.C. §§ 1801-et seq.

II. ARGUMENT

Although the Commission policy states that extensions of time are not routinely granted,³ the FBI believes several factors justify the grant of such an extension in this proceeding: (1) the FBI must coordinate its reply effort with--and obtain consensus from--approximately fifty (50) LETF members and other law enforcement agencies across the nation; (2) this rulemaking involves a complex subject matter that affects not only carriers, but hundreds of Federal, State, and local law enforcement agencies and prosecutors' offices; (3) the issues in this rulemaking invoke critical public safety and privacy concerns, the development of a complete record is particularly important in this matter; and (4) the current 30-day reply period coincides with the holidays, which further limits the FBI's undertaking.

A. The LETF. Following the enactment of CALEA, the FBI assembled the Law Enforcement Technical Forum ("LETF"), which consists of representatives from twenty-one (21) Federal and thirty (30) state and local law enforcement agencies, as well as the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. LETF members have participated in the development of the positions submitted with these comments. In turn, the FBI and the LETF have coordinated CALEA implementation issues, and developed consensus positions, with several hundred of the major law enforcement agencies and prosecutors' offices across the United States. Thus, in its representative capacity, the FBI must also canvas a multitude of diverse law enforcement agencies across the nation to develop and coordinate a consensus reply to the thirty-one (31) sets of comments filed in this proceeding. The result of this additional activity, under the current limit to the reply period, could preclude the FBI from fully presenting the views of Federal, State, and local law enforcement.⁴

See, e.g., Access Charge Reform, DA 97-157 (rel. Jan. 24, 1997) (30-day extension granted); Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Programming Practices of Broadcast Television Networks and Affiliate, 10 FCC Rcd. 11043 (1995) (30-day extension granted); Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Programming Practices of Broadcast Television Networks and Affiliate, 10 FCC Rcd. 10472 (1995) (30-day extension granted); Alcatel Network Systems, Inc. Petition for Rulemaking to Amend Part 68 of the Commission's Rules, 9 FCC RCD. 3577 (1994) (30-day extension granted); Enforcement of Prohibitions Against Broadcast Indecency in 18 U.S.C. § 1464, 5 FCC Rcd. 1931 (1990) (30-day extension granted).

See Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Programming Practices of Broadcast Television Networks and Affiliates, 10 FCC Rcd. 11043, at ¶3 (need for a complete record regarding complex issues justified grant of an extension).

B. Complex Issues of Far-Reaching Import. It is likewise important for the Commission to grant an extension in this matter so the FBI has an opportunity to consider fully the potential impact of the positions taken by commenters on CALEA implementation issues.⁵ This proceeding will affect the way law enforcement conducts electronic surveillance and interacts with carriers for many years to come. Further, the results of this proceeding will have a direct bearing on the timeliness, security, integrity, and accuracy of future electronic surveillance. For public safety reasons, the FBI further believes that the Commission's rules establishing carrier policies and procedures must safeguard and promote the evidentiary integrity of electronic surveillance while not imposing an *undue* burden on industry. Thus, the outcome of this proceeding is critically important to the CALEA implementation process and the achievement of these goals. Striking the appropriate balance is a complex exercise that should be approached deliberately, not in haste.⁶

The Public Interest. As its legislative history articulates, CALEA was passed "to preserve the government's ability, pursuant to court order or other lawful authorization, to intercept communications involving advanced technologies such as digital or wireless transmission modes, or features and services such as call forwarding, speed dialing and conference calling, while protecting the privacy of communications and without impeding the introduction of new technologies, features, and services." This proceeding, therefore, invokes a variety of sensitive public policy concerns that require thoughtful and deliberate consideration by the Commission. The Commission's effort can only be aided by adding an additional thirty (30) days to the reply period, which will permit the FBI to "analyze and critique positions and factual assertions set

Among the key issues addressed by CALEA are the telecommunications entities covered by the statute and the obligations these entities must meet to ensure they will be able to comply with electronic surveillance orders. Further, as telecommunications technology evolves and new services and capabilities are introduced into the market, the Commission's role in evaluating whether, and how, CALEA's obligations will extend to new services or providers will become increasingly important.

See Review of the Commission's Regulations Governing Programming Practices of Broadcast Television Networks and Affiliates, 10 FCC Rcd. 10472, at ¶3 (need for a complete record regarding complex issues justified grant of an extension).

⁷ H.R. Rep No. 827, Cong., 2d Sess., 9, reprinted in 1994 U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News 3489 (1994).

forth in the initial comments" and develop a complete and reliable record for the Commission's review.8

III. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, the FBI respectfully requests that the Commission extend the time of the FBI to file its reply comments in the captioned proceeding by thirty (30) days, from January 12, 1998 to February 11, 1998.

Dated: December 17, 1997

Respectively submitted, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

H. Michael Warren

Senior Project Manager/Chief

Ahhala?

CALEA Implementation Section

Federal Bureau of Investigation

14800 Conference Center Drive, Suite 300

Chantilly, VA 20151

See Enforcement of Prohibitions Against Broadcast Indecency in 18 U.S.C. § 1464, 5 FCC Rcd. 1931, at

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

| In the Matter of |) | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| |) | |
| Communications Assistance for |) | CC Docket No. 97-213 |
| Law Enforcement Act | Ì | |

Certificate of Service

I, Rozanne R. Worrell, a Supervisory Special Agent in the office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), 14800 Conference Center Drive, Suite 300, Chantilly, Virginia 20151, hereby certify that, on December 17, 1997, I caused to be served, by first-class mail, postage prepaid (or by hand where noted) copies of the FBI's Request for an Extension of Time to File Reply Comments In the Matter of Communications Assistance For Law Enforcement Act, CC Docket No. 97-213 (rel. October 10, 1997). The original request for an extension of time is filed herewith and upon the parties identified on the attached service list.

DATED at Chantilly, Virginia this 17th day of December, 1997.

Rozanne R. Worrell

Agame P. Wouell

IN THE MATTER OF COMMUNICATIONS ASSISTANCE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT ACT CC DOCKET NO. 97-213 SERVICE LIST

*The Honorable William E. Kennard, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W. - Room 814 Washington, D.C. 20554

*The Honorable Harold Furchtgott-Roth, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W. - Room 802 Washington, D.C. 20554

*The Honorable Susan Ness, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W. - Room 832 Washington, D.C. 20554

*The Honorable Michael Powell, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. - Room 844 Washington, D.C. 20554

*The Honorable Gloria Tristani, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. - Room 826 Washington, D.C. 20554

*A. Richard Metzger, Chief, Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street N.W. - Room 500B Washington, D.C. 20554

*Geraldine Matise, Chief, Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street N.W. - Room 235A Washington, D.C. 20554

*Kent Nilsson, Deputy Chief, Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street N.W. - Room 210T Washington, D.C. 20554

*David O. Ward, Senior Legal Assistant Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau 2000 M Street, N.W. - Room 210N Washington, D.C. 20554

Kathleen Q. Abernathy Airtouch Communications, Inc. 1818 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Barry Steinhardt, Associate Director American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York, N.Y. 10004

Electronic Privacy Information Center 666 Pennsylvania Ave., SE, Suite 301 Washington, D.C. 20003

Stanton McCandlis Electronic Frontier Foundation 1550 Bryant Street, Suite 725 San Francisco CA 94103-4832

Alan R. Shark, President American Mobile Telecommunications Association, Inc. 1150 18th Street, N.W., Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036

Elizabeth R. Sachs, Esq. Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez 1111 19th Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 Barbara J. Kern Ameritech Corporation 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Room 4H74 Hoffman Estates, IL 60196

Mark C. Rosenblum AT&T Corp. Room 3252J1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Roseanna DeMaria AT&T Wireless Services Room 1731 32 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10013

John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

M. Robert Sutherland BellSouth Corporation Suite 1700 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3610

Michael P. Goggin
BellSouth Cellular Corp.
Suite 910
1100 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4599

J. Lloyd Nault, II BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 4300 BellSouth Center 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30375 Michael Altschul, Vice President and General Counsel Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20036

James T. Roche, Regulatory Counsel GlobeCast North America Incorporated 400 North Capitol Street, NW Suite 880 Washington, DC 20001

Richard McKenna, HQE03J36 GTE Service Corporation P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092

Gail L. Polivy 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Henry M. Rivera Ginsburg, Feldman & Bress, Chtd. 1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Rich Barth Motorola, Inc. Suite 400 1350 I Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Emilio W. Cividances Piper & Marbury, LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Lisa M. Zaina
OPASTCO
21 Dupont Circle, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Judith St. Ledger-Roty Kelley Drye & Warren, LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W., 5th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

Eric W. DeSilva Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Mark J. Golden Senior Vice President, Industry Affairs Personal Communications Industry Association 500 Montgomery Street, Suite 700 Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

Michael K. Kurtis Kurtis & Associates, P.C. 2000 M Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036

William L. Roughton, Jr. Associate General Counsel 601 13th Street, N.W. Suite 320 South Washington, D.C. 20005

Caressa D. Bennet Bennet & Bennet, PLLC 1019 19th Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

James D. Ellis SBC Communications, Inc. 175 E. Houston Room 1258 San Antonio, TX 78205 Carole C. Harris McDermott, Will & Emery 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Joseph R. Assenzo General Attorney Attorney for Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS 4900 Main Street, 12th Floor Kansas City, MO 64112

Stewart A. Baker Steptoe & Johnson LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Matthew J. Flanigan
President
Telecommunications Industry Association
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 315
Washington, D.C. 20004

Teresa Marrero Teleport Communications Group, Inc. Senior Regulatory Counsel-Federal Two Teleport Drive Staten Island, NY 10311

Mary McDermott USTA 1401 H Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

John H. Harwood II Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037 Kathryn M. Krause U S West, Inc. Suite 700 1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Peter M. Connolly Koteen & Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Kevin C. Gallagher Senior Vice President-General Counsel and Secretary 360° Communications Company 8725 W. Higgins Road Chicago, IL 60631

Andy Oram Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility P.O. Box 717 Palo Alto, CA 94302

Robert S. Foosaner, Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer Nextel Communications, Inc. 1450 G. Street, N.W. Suite 425 Washington, D.C. 20005

David Cosson National Telephone Cooperative Association 2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

*International Transcription Service, Inc. 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140 Washington, D.C. 20037

* Hand Delivered